

FILED
SUPREME COURT
STATE OF WASHINGTON
8/8/2019 8:00 AM
BY SUSAN L. CARLSON
CLERK

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE
STATE OF WASHINGTON

In Re the Estate of: MARGARET RAI- CHOUDHURY: KHASHON HASELRIG, Petitioner/ Appellant vs. STEPHANIE INSLEE, PR, Respondent.	Case No. 97124-2 Div. 1 Case No. 77740-8-1 Whatcom County Superior Court Case No. 16-4-00659-4 HASELRIG'S REPLY TO PR'S OBJECTION FOR EXTENSION TO FILE REPLY TO ANSWER
--	--

Haselrig's reply does not introduce "new" evidence, and Stephanie Inslee's objection doesn't give any example or offending page. Haselrig relies on the clerks papers (CP) submitted to the appellate court January 5, 2018 as indicated by the table of contents to the appendix in his reply brief. The only difference in evidence in Haselrig's reply brief is that he made a more extensive appendix since Inslee's brief attempts to mislead the tribunal by stating notice was given and that he appeared at every proceeding. The appendix is intended as an easier means of fact checking.

Haselrig's reply corrects misstatements of law and fact in Inslee's brief. RPC 3.3 states a lawyer shall not knowingly (1) make a false

statement of fact or law to a tribunal or fail to correct a false statement of material fact or law previously made to the tribunal by the lawyer.

If Inslee's brief did not make the following misstatements of fact and law Haselrig's brief would not be necessary:

1. False statement Haselrig attended all proceedings after notice, when no evidence exists the Dec 19, 2016 proceeding that admitted the copy of the missing will was attended or that any interested parties were notified of that proceeding, or that any party was notified the will was missing before it was admitted on Dec 19, 2016.
2. False statement of law that Washington probate law does not specify time or method of notice when presumably they are aware of RCW 11.96A.110.
3. False statement that Inslee possesses a true and correct copy of the will only because the matter was not properly before the Court and thus never argued or proven.
4. False statement that Haselrig relies on Elliot even though he does not reference that case.

As a separate point Inslee's brief attempts to compare this case to Sanders v. Sanders and confuse his due process argument with a purely jurisdictional argument.

The Court is ill served accepting an answer filled with misstatements of fact and law, and red herrings, without correction. The respondent in this case is quick to demand others follow rules yet seem to have little regard for rules as it concerns them.

Haselrig acknowledges his reply was not timely however it is an unequal application of the rules to dismiss Haselrig's reply while leaving Stephanie Inslee's answer even as it disregards known statutes.

Respectfully submitted August 8, 2019

Khashon Haselrig
Khashon Haselrig pro se

809 Nw 153 Terrace, Edmond Ok 73071

405 618 2722

FILED
SUPREME COURT
STATE OF WASHINGTON
8/8/2019 8:00 AM
BY SUSAN L. CARLSON

CLERK Declaration of Mailing for HASELRIG'S REPLY TO PR'S
OBJECTION FOR EXTENSION TO FILE REPLY TO ANSWER
Supreme Court appellate No. 97124-2

By COA E-file Portal
Shepherd and Allen
2011 Young St, Suite 202
Bellingham, WA 98225

Aug 8, 2019
Dated

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of Washington that I mailed or caused to be personally served a copy of HASELRIG'S REPLY TO PR'S OBJECTION FOR EXTENSION TO FILE REPLY TO ANSWER for appellate case 777408 to the parties listed, postage prepaid on or by Aug 8, 2019.

Khashon Haselrig

Khashon Haselrig

809 NW 153rd Terrace

Edmond, OK 73013

405-618-2722

KHASHON HASELRIG - FILING PRO SE

August 07, 2019 - 10:15 PM

Transmittal Information

Filed with Court: Supreme Court
Appellate Court Case Number: 97124-2
Appellate Court Case Title: In the Matter of the Estate of: Margaret Rai-Choudhury
Superior Court Case Number: 16-4-00659-4

The following documents have been uploaded:

- 971242_Answer_Reply_20190807220413SC673573_6767.pdf
This File Contains:
Answer/Reply - Reply to Answer to Motion
The Original File Name was Motion to accept reply.pdf
- 971242_Cert_of_Service_20190807220413SC673573_4069.pdf
This File Contains:
Certificate of Service
The Original File Name was Declaration Aug 8 2019.pdf

A copy of the uploaded files will be sent to:

- bethany@saalawoffice.com
- dougshepherd@saalawoffice.com
- heather@saalawoffice.com
- jen@saalawoffice.com

Comments:

Sender Name: Khashon Haselrig - Email: kdoekay@gmail.com
Address:
809 Nw 153rd Terrace
Edmond, OK, 73013
Phone: (405) 618-2722

Note: The Filing Id is 20190807220413SC673573

KHASHON HASELRIG - FILING PRO SE

August 07, 2019 - 10:15 PM

Transmittal Information

Filed with Court: Supreme Court
Appellate Court Case Number: 97124-2
Appellate Court Case Title: In the Matter of the Estate of: Margaret Rai-Choudhury
Superior Court Case Number: 16-4-00659-4

The following documents have been uploaded:

- 971242_Answer_Reply_20190807220413SC673573_6767.pdf
This File Contains:
Answer/Reply - Reply to Answer to Motion
The Original File Name was Motion to accept reply.pdf
- 971242_Cert_of_Service_20190807220413SC673573_4069.pdf
This File Contains:
Certificate of Service
The Original File Name was Declaration Aug 8 2019.pdf

A copy of the uploaded files will be sent to:

- bethany@saalawoffice.com
- dougshepherd@saalawoffice.com
- heather@saalawoffice.com
- jen@saalawoffice.com

Comments:

Sender Name: Khashon Haselrig - Email: kdoekay@gmail.com
Address:
809 Nw 153rd Terrace
Edmond, OK, 73013
Phone: (405) 618-2722

Note: The Filing Id is 20190807220413SC673573